

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
4
5

6 CANDACE COVERT,
7 Plaintiff,
8
9 v.
10 PRECISION OPINION, INC.,
11 Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00040-GMN-DJA

Order

11 Attorney Deanna Forbush telephoned the undersigned's chambers today to complain about
12 an order issued by the Court and ask the Court how to proceed. *See* Docket No. 24. Even after
13 being reminded that *ex parte* communications are prohibited by Local Rule IA 7-2(b), Ms. Forbush
14 called chambers a second time to again ask for advice on how to proceed. To the extent an attorney
15 seeks relief from the Court, she must file a written request on the docket. *See, e.g.*, Local Rule 7-
16 1(a) (stipulations); Local Rule 7-2(a) (motions). Ms. Forbush is ordered to cease engaging in
17 improper *ex parte* communications. **FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER MAY**
18 **RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS.**

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: March 15, 2022.

21 
22

23 NANCY J. KOPPE
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25
26
27
28